

Do files in Stata

Contents

- 1 Why use do-files in Stata?
- 2 Make do-files robust
- 3 Make do-files legible
- 4 A sample template for do-files
- 5 How to debug simple errors in Stata

Why use do-files in Stata?

1. Do-files make programming replicable.
 - a. Replicability confirms the integrity of the user's work and helps it stand up to questioning.
 - b. Replicability enables others to help when the user cannot find why the program isn't working.
2. Do-files let the user better proof work and find errors.
3. Do-files help the user document research, especially through comments. This complements two other major documentation strategies: a clear and simple file structure and an occasional research note.
4. Do-files allow the user to separate different phases of work – data creation and data analysis, for example.

Making do-files robust

1. Make do-files self-contained
 - a. A do-file should not rely on something left in memory
 - b. A do-file should not use a dataset unless it loads the dataset.
 - c. The do-file should not test coefficients unless it estimates those coefficients.
2. Use version control, i.e., include the command `version 12`, for example.
3. Include directory information.
4. Include seeds for random numbers.

5. If there are file dependences (needing to run one program before another) use a `master.do` file (a do-file that runs other do-files).
6. Never save over an original dataset

Make do-files legible

1. Use lots of comments
 - a. Use comments as dividers to set apart different parts of the program.
 - b. Use a header comment at the top to leave notes about
 - i. author, last update, what the update accomplished, and information about the overall goal of the do-file
 - ii. any hard-coded paths (file locations such as `c:/Users/Johnb/...` etc.) that will have to be changed if moved off of this computer
 - iii. any ado-files needed for this program to work
 - c. Use comments as reminders of tasks remaining to be done.
 - d. Use a comment to describe the goal of each step of the program
2. Use alignment to align variables from one line to the next
3. Use indentation to make reading easier
 - a. If a command takes more than one line, indent subsequent lines (`Alt+e f` then set **Auto-Indent**)
 - b. Use one indent per level of a loop
4. Use short lines (`set linesize 80`)
5. Limit abbreviations to the most common so that others can read the code.
6. Be consistent.
7. Name do-files according to their function, e.g. `create[name].do` or `analyze[name].do`

A sample template for do-files:

```
capture log close
set more off
log using _name_, replace text
// program:  _name_.do
// task:
// project:
// author:   _who_/ _date_
// #0
// program setup

version 12
clear all
macro drop _all
set linesize 80

*my commands start here
// #1
// describe task 1
// #2
// describe task 2

log close
exit
```

Most common do-file errors

1. Not closing a log from a previous do-file. This can be solved by including the command `capture log close` at the beginning of the do-file and `clear, or close Stata`.
2. Mistyping a variable name. This can be prevented by using Stata's autocomplete function, or highlighting names in the variable window and hitting the left-up arrow to paste into the command line and then copy into do-file. It can also be prevented by having variable names that are completely distinct from each other.
3. Confusing `=` with `==`. A simple rule of thumb: when `if` is used, `==` is the equality that should be used (not `=`).

4. Mistyping a command.
 - a. This can be noticed immediately because the Stata editor changes the font on correctly typed commands to blue.
 - b. Check for simple typing errors such as using `tabl` instead of `tab1`
5. Getting a **Type mismatch** error. This is often due to a string and a numerical variable being confused and usually has a wrong missing valuation (the missing symbol for a numerical variable is a dot `.` while the missing text is for a text (string) variable is two parentheses `""`)
6. Forgetting to add `,` `replace`
7. Getting an option error:
 - a. Using an invalid option. This can be prevented by typing `help [operation name]` to see the allowed options and their syntax `.`
 - b. Forgetting to precede an option statement with a comma
 - c. Forgetting that an option requires an order of operations (e.g., sort data using `by` or `bysort`)
8. Putting `by` in the wrong place (for some commands `by` goes before, some after, some can be either)
9. Getting errors writing loops. This can be prevented by using `trace` which shows Stata as it interprets and evaluates each step.

Troubleshooting do-file errors

1. Run the program a few commands at a time. This can be done simply by commenting out (typing the comment symbols before and after) sections to be omitted.
2. Run `clear all` and `macro drop _all`
3. Restart Stata
4. Reboot computer
5. Attempt the analysis with new made-up data to test if there is a problem with the variable.

6. Rewrite the do-file from scratch if a substantive error (not a Stata error) is appearing.

Checking for clerical errors

1. Compare results to tables or summary statistics to diagnose potential problems from simple recoding operations such as `gen`, `replace`, etc.
2. Use Stata's conditional function to create a list to check for errors. For example, check that `tab id list if id !=0` Then do opposite `tab id number ==0`. Or `tab id list if id ==entry` to check that the entry number and ID number are not the same

J. Scott Long, 2009. *The Workflow of Data Analysis Using Stata*, Stata Press.

Jennifer Earl, *Programming in Stata*, Sociology 596A course, University of Arizona